

	Castasto 9:04-000000177577 Dutili ubitalitiza	o integration and the second of the second o
1	ROBERT DAVID BAKER, INC. Robert David Baker (Bar No. 87314)	,
2	1611 The Alameda	
3	San Jose, California 95126 Telephone: (408) 292-8555 Facsimile: (408) 292-0703	
4	Attorney for Plaintiff Sam Abboud	
5	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER & HEDGES, LLP	
6	Scott G. Lawson (Bar No. 174671)	
7	50 California Street, 22nd Floor San Francisco, CA 94111	
8	Telephone: (415) 875-6600 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700	
- 1	Attorneys for International Business Machines Corporation	
9	Attorneys for international Busiless Machines Corporation	
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
13		
14	SAM ABBOUD,	Case No. C04-00017 JW
15	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND PROPOSEDY ORDER MODIFYING SCHEDULING
16	V.) ORDER
17	DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF	ĺ
18	INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION, JOE SMYTH; JOHN DOE #1; JOHN DOE #2; DOES 1-48,	
19	Defendants.	}
20		
21	·	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	ALL DE LA CALLER D	
	STIP, AND PROP, ORDER MODIFYING SCHEDULING ORDER	

6

9

8

11 12

> 13 14

> 15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

23

26

25

27

Based on the facts and circumstances set forth below, the parties hereby stipulate to modify the scheduling order in this case as follows:

WHEREAS, a substantive discovery dispute arose between Plaintiff and a non-party and required plaintiff to file a motion to compel testimony of witnesses of that third party;

WHEREAS, due to the time required to brief, hear and resolve the discovery dispute with the Court, as well as the schedule of counsel for the third-party defendants, Plaintiff was unable to schedule certain noticed depositions before the November 4, 2005 deadline previously set by the Court's previous scheduling order;

WHEREAS, the parties believe that litigation efficiency will be increased by permitting plaintiff to complete fact discovery prior to the parties exchanging expert-witness information and filing dispositive motions;

WHEREAS, the parties participated in a mediation of the matter on October 26, 2005 and, consistent with their mediation discussions, currently continue to discuss the terms of a possible settlement and believe that the interests of settlement will be advanced by permitting them additional time in which to engage in such discussions; and

WHEREAS, the parties do not intend to seek any further modification of the scheduling order.

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. Depositions of IBM and non-party witnesses noticed prior to September 14, 2005 (including those depositions that were the subject of plaintiff's motion to compel testimony from Hitachi witnesses) will take place on or before December 9, 2005.
- 2. With the exception of the depositions referenced in paragraph 1, no additional discovery may take place.
- 3. The deadlines for exchanging expert-witness information and filing dispositive motions will be modified as follows to take into account the schedule set forth above:
 - The last day for hearing dispositive motions shall be January 23, 2006.
- b. Any party wishing to present expert witness testimony with respect to a claim or a defense shall lodge with the Court and serve on all other parties the name, address,

Casca5e05:04-00000017VJWDocomentrat243 Filled 1111/000920005Pagea4e014-014

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: Nov. 09, 2005 United States District Judge STIP. AND PROP. ORDER MODIFYING SCHEDULING ORDER